



file a civil complaint for forfeiture *in rem*, which would be duplicative of the anticipated criminal forfeiture proceedings.

In further support, the government made the following showing:

1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(1)(A), the government provided the known potential claimant with written notice of the government's intention to administratively forfeit the Subject Properties. On September 6, 2024, the claimant filed an administrative claim contesting forfeiture.
2. The Subject Properties are associated with a pending criminal investigation in this district being supervised by AUSA Scott Matthews. AUSA Matthews anticipates the filing of a criminal Indictment that will include provisions providing for the forfeiture of the Subject Properties pursuant to Rule 32.2, Fed.R.Crim.P. and 21 U.S.C. § 853. Such criminal forfeiture proceedings would likely make the filing of parallel civil forfeiture proceedings duplicative and unnecessary.
3. The claimant has retained attorney Dayne Phillips of Columbia, South Carolina, to represent him in this matter.
4. Attorney Phillips and his client join, agree, and consent to the motion to extend the time to file a judicial forfeiture action.
5. Avoiding multiple judicial forfeiture actions related to the same property constitutes "good cause" for an extension of the judicial filing deadline under 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(3)(A).

Having considered this matter, and finding good cause, the Court grants the motion of the United States, pursuant to Section 983(a)(3)(A), and orders that the time period in which the United States is required to file a judicial forfeiture action against the Subject Properties is extended to March 5, 2025.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Cameron McGowan Currie  
CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE  
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina  
November 7, 2024